



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/002,186 12/05/2001		Richard Roy Grisenthwaite	550-270	2972		
7590 04/21/2004			EXAMINER			
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			KNOLL, CLIFFORD H			
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2112	- H		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						1/	/	
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		V	7	
Office Action Summary		10/002,186	3	GRISENTHWAITE	E, RICHARD	RO		
		Examiner		Art Unit			_	
		Clifford H K		2112	***			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the left the period for reply specified ale. If NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. tible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. sove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period to extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u>	anuary 2002		•				
2a) This action is FINA								
·	·—							
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/ar	e pending in the application	ı .						
4a) Of the above cl	aim(s) is/are withdra	wn from con	sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/s	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/ar								
7) Claim(s) is/s				•				
8)[_] Claim(s) are	e subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
,	objected to by the Examine		_					
,	d on is/are: a)□ acc	•						
	quest that any objection to the				55 4 4044 N			
·	g sheet(s) including the correc							
11) Ine oath or declara	tion is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM P	1,0-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some	made of a claim for foreign * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	ies of the priority document ies of the priority document			ion No	•			
-	e certified copies of the prior		* *		Stage			
- ·	rom the International Burea	•			0.050			
/ * ·	tailed Office action for a list	-		ed.				
						•		
Attachment(s)			л. П	(DTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (I Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure States Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baror (US 5136691).

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Baror discloses retrieving a semaphore value corresponding to a processing resource from a semaphore value store and storing semaphore identifying data indicative of which semaphore value has been retrieved (e.g., col. 39, lines 6-11), determining from said semaphore value whether or not said processing resource is available for exclusive access by a requesting exclusive access requester, and writing a new semaphore value to said semaphore value store, said new semaphore value being indicative of exclusive access being granted to said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 49, lines 39-56); wherein (v) in response to execution of an exclusive access clear instruction by an exclusive access requester, clearing stored semaphore identifying data for said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 50, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2112

Regarding claim 2, Baror also discloses wherein said step of writing a new semaphore value returns a result value indicative of whether or not said new semaphore value was written in said semaphore value store (e.g., col. 49, lines 30-32).

Regarding claim 3, Baror also discloses if a different exclusive access requester has written a new semaphore value to said semaphore value store between said step of retrieving and said step of writing, then said result value indicates that said write of a new semaphore value by said exclusive access requester has failed (e.g., col. 49, lines 30-32).

Regarding claim 4, Baror also discloses wherein said step of writing also checks said semaphore identifying data to determine whether or not said semaphore identifying data has been cleared between said step of retrieving and said step of writing (e.g., col. 49, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 5, Baror also discloses if said semaphore identifying data has been cleared, then writing of said new semaphore value is not attempted (e.g., col. 49, lines 30-32).

Regarding claim 6, Baror also discloses wherein a plurality of data processors share said processing resource (e.g., col. 39, lines 6-11).

Regarding claim 7, Baror also discloses wherein said plurality of data processors share at least a common access point via which accesses to said processing resource are made (e.g., col. 39, lines 6-11).

Art Unit: 2112

Regarding claim 8, Baror also discloses wherein a local semaphore identifying data store is provided local to said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 49, lines 61-63).

Regarding claim 9, Baror also discloses wherein a write attempt does not reach said common access point if said semaphore identifying value stored in said local semaphore identifying data store has been cleared (e.g., col. 49, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 10, Baror also discloses wherein a shared semaphore identifying data store is provided local to said processing resource (e.g., col. 49, lines 61-63).

Regarding claim 11, Baror also discloses wherein multitasking processing is performed such that different processing tasks may act as different exclusive access requesters (e.g., col. 26, lines 14-15).

Regarding claim 12, Baror also discloses wherein said exclusive access clear instruction clears said local semaphore identifying data store, but not said shared semaphore identifying data store, and said semaphore identifying data within said local semaphore identifying data store is checked to determine whether or not said semaphore identifying data has been cleared between said step of retrieving and said step of writing (e.g., col. 39, lines 4, "cacheable").

Regarding claim 13, Baror also discloses wherein said processing resource is a data element stored within a data memory (e.g., col. 39, line 6).

Regarding claim 14, Baror also discloses wherein an exclusive access clear instruction is executed upon occurrence of one or more of: (i) an exception triggering

Art Unit: 2112

exception handling; and (ii) a context switch between different tasks within multitasking operation. (e.g., col. 44, lines 28-30).

Regarding claim 15, Baror also discloses wherein said semaphore identifying data is data indicative of a memory address associated with said processing resource (e.g., col. 39, lines 4, "cacheable").

Regarding claim 16, Baror also discloses wherein said shared semaphore identifying data store stores data indicative of which processor is requesting exclusive access to said processing resource (e.g., col. 10, lines 44-53).

Regarding claim 17, Baror discloses a computer program product carrying a computer program for controlling a data processing apparatus in accordance with the method of claims 1 (e.g., col. 1, lines 67-68).

Claims 1-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moriarty (US 6446149).

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Moriarty discloses retrieving a semaphore value corresponding to a processing resource from a semaphore value store storing semaphore identifying data indicative of which semaphore value has been retrieved (e.g., col. 4, lines 43-45), determining from said semaphore value whether or not said processing resource is available for exclusive access by a requesting exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 9, lines 26-29); and writing a new semaphore value to said semaphore value store, said new semaphore value being indicative of exclusive access being granted to said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 9, lines 26-29); wherein (v)

Art Unit: 2112

in response to execution of an exclusive access clear instruction by an exclusive access requester, clearing stored semaphore identifying data for said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 12, lines 35-37).

Regarding claim 2, Moriarty also discloses wherein said step of writing a new semaphore value returns a result value indicative of whether or not said new semaphore value was written in said semaphore value store (e.g., col. 7, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 3, Moriarty also discloses if a different exclusive access requester has written a new semaphore value to said semaphore value store between said step of retrieving and said step of writing, then said result value indicates that said write of a new semaphore value by said exclusive access requester has failed (e.g., col. 7, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 4, Moriarty also discloses wherein said step of writing also checks said semaphore identifying data to determine whether or not said semaphore identifying data has been cleared between said step of retrieving and said step of writing (e.g., col. 10, lines 51-54).

Regarding claim 5, Moriarty also discloses if said semaphore identifying data has been cleared, then writing of said new semaphore value is not attempted (e.g., col. 12, lines 35-37).

Regarding claim 6, Moriarty also discloses wherein a plurality of data processors share said processing resource (e.g., col. 2, lines 13-16).

Art Unit: 2112

Regarding claim 7, Moriarty also discloses wherein said plurality of data processors share at least a common access point via which accesses to said processing resource are made (e.g., col. 2, lines 27-30).

Regarding claim 8, Moriarty also discloses wherein a local semaphore identifying data store is provided local to said exclusive access requester (e.g., col. 12, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 9, Moriarty also discloses wherein a write attempt does not reach said common access point if said semaphore identifying value stored in said local semaphore identifying data store has been cleared (e.g., col. 10, lines 57-63).

Regarding claim 10, Moriarty also discloses wherein a shared semaphore identifying data store is provided local to said processing resource (e.g., col. 12, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 11, Moriarty also discloses wherein multitasking processing is performed such that different processing tasks may act as different exclusive access requesters (e.g., col. 12, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 12, Moriarty also discloses wherein said exclusive access clear instruction clears said local semaphore identifying data store, but not said shared semaphore identifying data store, and said semaphore identifying data within said local semaphore identifying data store is checked to determine whether or not said semaphore identifying data has been cleared between said step of retrieving and said step of writing (e.g., col. 12, lines 35-37).

Art Unit: 2112

Regarding claim 13, Moriarty also discloses wherein said processing resource is a data element stored within a data memory (e.g., col. 7, lines 29-30).

Regarding claim 15, Moriarty also discloses wherein said semaphore identifying data is data indicative of a memory address associated with said processing resource (e.g., col. 7, lines 29-30).

Regarding claim 16, Moriarty also discloses wherein said shared semaphore identifying data store stores data indicative of which processor is requesting exclusive access to said processing resource (e.g., col. 8, lines 52-54).

Regarding claim 17, Moriarty also discloses a computer program product carrying a computer program for controlling a data processing apparatus in accordance with the method of claims 1 (e.g., col. 2, lines 13-14).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Montgomery (US 6529933) discloses a semaphore system which includes storing and clearing stored semaphore identifying data (e.g., col. 5, lines 17-23).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clifford H Knoll whose telephone number is 703-305-8656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0630-1500.

TYPERMISORY PAYENT EMANINER

Application/Control Number: 10/002,186

Art Unit: 2112

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark H Rinehart can be reached on 703-305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

chk